

Section 73A - correct minor errors in Sydney LEP 2012 and South Sydney LEP 1998			
Proposal Title :	Section 73A - correct minor e	rrors in Sydney LEP 2012 an	d South Sydney LEP 1998
Proposal Summary	To rectify minor errors in Sydney Local Environmental Plan 2012 and South Sydney LEP 1998 under section 73A of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.		
PP Number	PP_2013_SYDNE_002_00	Dop File No :	13/04783
roposal Details			1
Date Planning Proposal Received	28-Feb-2013	LGA covered :	Sydney
Region :	Sydney Region East	RPA:	Council of the City of Sydney
State Electorate :	SYDNEY	Section of the Act :	73A - Minor Matter
LEP Type :	73A		
ocation Details			
Street : L	and bounded by Lachlan Street, E	Sourke Street, O'Dea Avenue	and South Dowling Street
Suburb : v	vaterloo City :	sydney	Postcode: 2017
Land Parcel :			
DoP Planning Of	ficer Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Daniel Cutler		
Contact Number :	0292286475		
Contact Email :	Daniel.Cutler@planning.nsw.g	ov.au	
RPA Contact Det	ails		
Contact Name :	Francesca O'Brien		
Contact Number :	0292659069		
Contact Email :	fobrien@cityofsydney.nsw.go\	/.au	
DoP Project Man	ager Contact Details		
Contact Name :	Daniel Cutler		
Contact Number :	9228641500		
Contact Email :	Daniel.Cutler@planning.nsw.g	ov.au	
Land Release Da	Ita		
Growth Centre :	0	Release Area Name :	
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	Metro Sydney City subregion	Consistent with Strateg	y∶ Yes

Section 73A - correct minor errors in Sydney LEP 2012 and South Sydney LEP 1998

MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0
Gross Floor Area :	0	No of Jobs Created	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :	meetings with Lobbyist lobbyist in relation to th	ning's Code of Practice in relation to s has been complied with. Sydney F nis proposal, nor has the Regional D r departmental officers and lobbyist	Region East has not met any irector been advised of any
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment :			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	The proposed section 73A amendments will generally have no impacts on the housing a job creation for Sydney LGA. However, if the amendment to South Sydney LEP 1998 to re-instate affordable housing provisions does not proceed it is likely to have a negative impact on the Green Square affordable housing scheme.		South Sydney LEP 1998 to
		ents relate to minor wording change clauses and the operation of afforda quare.	
	Council advises that:		
	around the calculation	the design excellence provisions are of additional floor space that is avai n a development proposes certain u	lable in both Central Sydney
		the heritage floor space provisions a floor space scheme operating in cen d	
2 2	is required to ensure th areas where the Sydney known as the Lachlan F	outh Sydney LEP 1998 to re-insert t at affordable housing contributions y LEP 2012 does not apply. This incl Precinct which was deferred from Sy ns relating to height and density cor	can continue to be levied in ludes an area in Green Square /dney LEP 2012 in order to
External Supporting Notes :			
equacy Assessmer	at		

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

÷

Comment :

Council advises that the objective of the proposed 73A amendment to Sydney LEP 2012 is

e,

to ensure that the LEP's design excellence and heritage floor space provisions achieve their intended outcomes.

The proposed amendment to South Sydney LEP 1998 is to re-instate the affordable housing provisions which were inadvertently deleted as a consequence of making Sydney LEP 2012.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

Council's explanation of the proposed amendments to Sydney LEP 2012 under section 73A of the EP&A Act include:

(1)

Subclause 6.10(2)(d) incorrectly refers to 'total gross floor space area'. The insertion of the word space is inconsistent with the Standard Instrument dictionary definition of Gross Floor Area and may cause the clause to be misinterpreted and should be deleted.

The amendment can be considered a minor error consisting of the removal of obviously unnecessary words as per section 73A(1)(a) of the EP&A Act and is supported.

(2)

In subclause 6.11 (1)(a),(b),(c),(d) and (e) and 2(a) and (b)the word "or" has been incorrectly inserted at the end of each subclause.

The intent of subclause 6.11(1) is that each relevant amount of heritage floor space is to be allocated with the total allocation being the sum of (a)(b)(c)(d) and (e), less any discount as relevant. The addition of the word 'or' after subclauses (a) to (d) may infer choice and is therefore incorrect. To ensure subclause 6.11(1) reflects Council's policy intent, the word 'or' after each subclause needs to be deleted.

The amendment is to clarify the intent of Council's provision and may be considered by the Minister to deal with matters that do not warrant compliance with the conditions precedent for the making of the instrument because they will not have any significant adverse impact on the environment or adjoining land as per section 73A(1)(c) of the EP&A Act.

(3)

Council proposes to delete entire subclause 6.11 (2)(c)(shown above)which allows a discount on heritage floor space for existing buildings under certain circumstances.

Council argues that this subclause goes beyond what was intended by Council and may exempt some developments from the heritage floor space scheme unintentionally. If this is the case, some developers who currently do not have to participate in the heritage floor space scheme under Sydney LEP 2012 would have to if the subclause was deleted.

Therefore, the removal of subclause 6.11(2)(c) is considered a significant change to Sydney LEP 2012 and should be exhibited for public comment and not proceed as a section 73A amendment.

This amendment is not supported under section 73A of the EP&A Act and Council should be advised that it should proceed as a future planning proposal.

(4)

Clause 6.21(7)(b) references the additional floor space that is available to certain developments in Central Sydney and Green Square – namely, 'accommodation floor space' and 'community floor space' respectively. The clause references Division 1 (which details accommodation floor space in Central Sydney) but omits a reference to Division 2 (which details community floor space in Green Square). The note below the subclause contains the correct reference to Division 2, but the words 'or 2' need to be added to the clause for consistency.

Section 73A - correct minor errors in Sydney LEP 2012 and South Sydney LEP 1998

This proposed amendment is to rectify an error consisting of obviously missing words and is consistent with section 73A(1)(a) of the EP&A Act. This proposed amendment is supported.

Council's explanation of the proposed amendment to South Sydney LEP 1998 under section 73A of the EP&A Act:

Council's submission also requests an amendment to the South Sydney LEP 1998 to reInsert Part 4 Division 3 'Affordable housing at Green Square' which was deleted as a consequential amendment resulting from the making of Sydney LEP 2012.

Schedule 6, 6.2[3] Consequential amendment of other planning instruments - of Sydney LEP 2012 repealed the South Sydney LEP 1998 affordable housing provisions as the Sydney LEP 2012 was to replace South Sydney LEP 1998 for land in Green Square. However, the fact that the Lachlan Precinct in Green Square was deferred from Sydney LEP 2012 as a result of submissions was overlooked. This means that the affordable housing scheme for Green Square does not currently apply to the Lachlan Precinct.

The deleted affordable housing provisions in South Sydney LEP are intended to provide housing for a mix of income groups and require all development in Green Square to make a contribution towards affordable housing.

The proposed amendment is to rectify an error in South Sydney LEP 1998 that was an unintended consequence of making Sydney LEP 2012. This is consistent with the provisions of section 73A(1)(b) of the EP&A Act which allows an amendment to be made without complying with the normal plan making provisions if that amendment addresses matters in the principal instrument that are of a consequential, transitional, machinery or other minor nature.

If the amendment to South Sydney LEP 1998 to reinstate affordable housing provisions does not proceed it is likely to have a negative impact on the Green Square affordable housing scheme. The proposed amendment is supported.

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

- b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :
- * May need the Director General's agreement

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

Nil

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? N/A

If No, explain :

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? No

Comment : There are no maps required for these amendments.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? No

Comment :

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment : Council has submitted all the required information to allow the Department to assess its proposal as an amendment under section 73A of the EP&A Act.

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

to Principal LEP :

Due Date : December 2012

Comments in relation The Sydney LEP 2012 was notified on 14 December 2012.

Council were provided a copy of the final draft and PC Opinion on 20 November 2012. Council did not raise any issues with the final draft and no changes were made after the PC Opinion was issued.

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :	Council advises that there is a need to make minor amendments to wording in relation to the heritage floor space clause and design excellence clause under Sydney LEP 2012 and to re-insert affordable housing provisions for certain land in Green Square under South Sydney LEP 1998.
	The wording amendments will ensure that the Sydney LEP 2012 provisions reflect Council's original intent and the re-insertion of the South Sydney LEP 1998 provisions will ensure that affordable housing contributions can continue to be levied in areas where the Sydney LEP 2012 does not apply. This includes an area in Green Square known as the Lachlan Precinct which was deferred from the operation of Sydney LEP 2012.
	Council proposes to delete entire subclause 6.11 (2)(c)which allows a discount on heritage floor space for existing buildings under certain circumstances.
	Council argues that this subclause goes beyond what was intended by Council and may exempt some developments from the heritage floor space scheme unintentionally. If this is the case, some developers who currently do not have to participate in the heritage floor space scheme under Sydney LEP 2012 would have to if the subclause was deleted.
	Therefore, the removal of subclause 6.11(2)(c) is considered a significant change to Sydney LEP 2012 and should be exhibited for public comment and not proceed as a section 73A amendment.
	Council considers these proposed amendments to be of a minor nature and capable of being made under section 73A of the EP&A Act.
	Apart from the proposed deletion of subclause 6.11 (2)(c) of Sydney LEP 2012, the proposed changes to Sydney LEP 2012 and South Sydney LEP 1998 are supported as amendments under section 73A of the EP&A Act. The identified errors are considered relatively minor

Section 73A - correct m	inor errors in Syd	ney LEP 2012 and South Syd	Iney LEP 1998	
	and rectifying them outcomes are avoide	quickly is important to ensure that ed.	adverse and unint	ended planning
Consistency with strategic planning framework :	These proposed amendments are consistent with Council's strategic vision for the City of Sydney local government area.			
Environmental social economic impacts :	The proposed amendments will not create an adverse environmental, social or economic impact.			
	If the affordable housing provisions are not reinserted into South Sydney LEP 1998 as proposed, opportunities to provide affordable housing in Green Square may be lost.			
Assessment Process	5			
Proposal type :	Minor	Community Consultati Period :	on Nil	
Timeframe to make LEP :	3 Month	Delegation	Nil	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)				
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	Νο		
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed ?	Yes		
If no, provide reasons :	subclause 6.11 (2)(c	Dication should proceed with the e). The deletion of this subclause is ould be pursued as a planning prop th this approach.	not considered to	be a minor
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No			
If Yes, reasons :				
Identify any additional st	udies, if required.			
If Other, provide reasons) ÷			
Identify any internal cons	sultations, if required :			
No internal consultation	n required			
Is the provision and fund	ling of state infrastructu	ure relevant to this plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :				
Documents				
Document File Name		DocumentTy	pe Name	Is Public
council letter.pdf Council report.pdf Council Resolution.pdf s73a application.pdf			overing Letter	Yes Yes Yes Yes

•

Section 73A - correct minor errors in Sydne	y LEP 2012 and South Sydney LEP 1998
---	--------------------------------------

Planning Team Recommendation		
Preparation of the plannir	ng proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions	
S.117 directions:		
Additional Information :	It is recommended that this proposal for a s73A amendment proceed with the condition that the request to delete subclause 6.11 (2)(c) not proceed.	
	The deletion of this subclause is not considered to be a minor amendment and Council should pursue this as a planning proposal.	
Supporting Reasons	With the exception of deleting subclause 6.11(2)(c), the proposed amendments to Sydney LEP 2012 are supported as they will correct minor errors and ensure that Council's provisions operate as intended.	
	The proposed amendment to South Sydney LEP 1998 is supported as it will rectify an error that was an unintended consequence of making Sydney LEP 2012, and ensure that Council's affordable housing scheme continues to operate in parts of Green Square not covered by Sydney LEP 2012.	
Signature:	- All	
Printed Name:	lin Archer Date: 15/3/13	